PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

n re application of

Docket No: Q65356

Yasuhisa FUKUDA

Appln. No. 09/899,988

Confirmation No.: 5162

Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

Examiner: Unknown

Group Art Unit: 2152

Filed: July 09, 2001

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PROVIDING NETWORK HOME DELIVER SERVICE, AND STORAGE MEDIUM STORING A PROGRAM FOR EXECUTIVO THE SERVICE, AND For:

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98

RECEIVED

AUG 2 8 2002

GROUP 3600

Sir:

In accordance with the duty of disclosure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56, Applicant hereby notifies the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office of the documents which are listed on the attached PTO/SB/08 A & B (modified) (substitute for PTO Form 1449) form and/or listed herein and which the Examiner may deem material to patentability of the claims of the above-identified application.

One copy of each of the listed documents is submitted herewith.

- 1. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 10-97576, published April 14, 1998.
- 2. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 11-296581, published October 29, 1999.
- 3. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 11-282908, published October 15, 1999.

The present Information Disclosure Statement is being filed: (1) No later than three months from the application's filing date for an application other than a continued prosecution application (CPA) under

Yasuhisa FUKUDA 09/899,988

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

§1.53(d); (2) Before the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits (whichever is later); or (3)

Before the mailing date of the first Office Action after filing a request for continued examination (RCE)

under §1.114, and therefore, no Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e) or fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) is

required.

In compliance with the concise explanation requirement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(3) for foreign

language documents, Applicant encloses herewith a copy of a corresponding Japanese Office Action

dated July 2, 2002 and an English translation of the pertinent portions thereof, which cites and indicates

the degree of relevance found by the foreign patent office.

The submission of the listed documents is not intended as an admission that any such document

constitutes prior art against the claims of the present application. Applicant does not waive any right to

take any action that would be appropriate to antedate or otherwise remove any listed document as a

competent reference against the claims of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 24,625

J. Frank Osha

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20037-3213

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

Date: AUG 2 3 2002

2

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Substitute for Form 1449 A & B/PTO	Сотр	Complete if Known			
	Application Number	09/899,988			
INFORMATION DISCLOSURI	E Confirmation Number	5162			
SSTATEMENT BY APPLICANT		July 09, 2001			
	First Named Inventor	Yasuhisa FUKUDA			
(use as many sheets as necessary)	Art Unit	2152			
5	Examiner Name	Unknown			
Sheet 1 of 1	Attorney Docket Number	Q65356			

	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Document Number		Publication Date		
		Number	Kind Code ² (if known)	MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	
		US				
		US				
		US		11 :		
		US				
		US			DECEIVED	
		US			KEULIV	
		US			2 0002	
		US			AUG 2 6 2002	
		US		,		
			-		Technology Center 2100	

,	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Foreign Patent Document		Publication Date	Name of Patentee or		
		Country Code ³	Number ⁴	Kind Code ⁵ (if known)	MM-DD-YYYY	Applicant of Cited Document	Translation ⁶
		JP	10-97576	Α	04/14/1998		
		JP	11-296581	Α	10/29/1999		
		JР	11-282908	A	10/15/1999		

Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city, and/or country where published.				
		RECEI	VED			
		AUG 2 8	2002			
		GROUP	3600			
Examiner Signature Date Considered						

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov, MPEP 901.04 or in the comment box of this document. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST. 3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to indicate here if English language Translation is attached.

<Reason 1>

The inventions as per Claims 1 through 5 of this application could have been easily invented by a person having ordinary knowledge in the technical field of the invention prior to the filing of this application based on the inventions described in the publications indicated below, which had been distributed in Japan or abroad prior to the filing of this application, and therefore cannot be patented as per the stipulations of Article 29, Section 2 of the Patent Law.

Description

- 1. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H10-97576
- 2. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H11-296581
- 3. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H11-282908

Remarks:

The aforementioned Publication 1 describes accepting a request for home delivery from a sender via a network and delivering based on preferred delivery time slot instructions from the recipient.

Although the invention described in the aforementioned Publication 1 is constituted such that the sender's address information, etc. is prerecorded, and the pickup location is specified by entering a telephone number, making the constitution such as to have the sender's address information, etc. be directly entered when the pickup request is made, this is a feature that can commonly be employed by persons skilled in the art (cf. for instance aforementioned Publication 2).

Moreover, determining the route schedule based on postal codes is a technique well known to persons skilled in the art, as described for instance in aforementioned Publication 3, and performing the scheduling of pickups and deliveries based on postal codes is a feature that can be suitably employed by persons skilled in the art.

<Reason 2>

The inventions described in the following claims of this application do not meet the requirements stipulated in the introductory clause of Article 29, Section 1 of the Patent Law, and therefore cannot be patented.

Description

While the "method for net home delivery service" as set forth in Claim 1 describes the use of terminal devices, host computer and communication network, it substantively defines the procedure of home delivery service, and as a whole does not utilize laws of nature.

Therefore, the "method for net home delivery service" as set forth in Claim 1 does not correspond to an "invention" under the Patent Law, which stipulates the requirement that an invention be a creation of technical ideas by which the laws of nature are utilized.

<Reason 3>

The Scope of Patent Claims of this application does not meet the requirements of Article 36, Section 6, Paragraph 2 of the Patent Law in the following respects.

Description

Regarding the "computer readable recording medium" described in Claims 3 through 5, the relationship between the "terminal device possessed by senders", "terminal device possessed by recipients" and "host computer possessed by the home delivery service provider" that constitute the "net home delivery service system" and the steps that constitute the "home delivery service program" is unclear, and furthermore the relationship between the "computer readable recording medium" and the "home delivery service program" and "net home delivery service system" is also unclear.

Therefore, the inventions as per Claims 3 through 5 are not clear.